

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,667	07/21/2003	Joseph I. Smullin	S1330.70004US00	5065
23628	7590 04/16/2007	EXAMINER		
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206		LITHGOW,	LITHGOW, THOMAS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Intonsions Summans	10/623,667	SMULLIN, JOSE	PH I.
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):		
(1) <u>Thomas M. Lithgow</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Norfleet	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 April 2007.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representati	ve]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: proposed new claim 70 (attached).			
Identification of prior art discussed: Widmann (US 62001)	79) and Widmann (US 598034	<u>14)</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant asseted that a treating waste water and not engine exhaust gases. The not properly combinable with the remaining prior art of respecific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner with the examiner of the specific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner of the specific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner of the specific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner of the specific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner of the specific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner of the specific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner of the examiner of the examiner of the specific reasons to support that assertion, the examiner of the examin	the bulk of the prior art applied Widmann patents were noted cord. Applicant intends to file a will review the amendment upon a copy of the amendments that ed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIR ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	d against te claims but urged by applean amendment and receipt thereof. greed would rend would render the SUBSTANCE of the property been filed, APP TY DAYS FROM NOTE OF THE PROMES TO	e were for icant to be d include er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS FHIS LATER, TO
	Alu	Sotto	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	/	nature, if required	<u></u>

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



Date:

April 12, 2007

Number of pages (including cover): 2

To:

Examiner Thomas M. Lithgow, US Patent and Trademark Office

Fax No.:

571-273-1162

Serial No.: 10/623,667-Conf. #5065

Title:

SEPARATOR OF FLOATING CONTAMINANTS

From:

Wait Norfleet

Direct dial: 617.646.8205

Our File #: 51330.70004US00

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being transmitted via facsimile to the attention of US Patent and Trademark Office, FAX number (571) 273-8300, at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.6(d), on April 12, 2007.

ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS WILL NOT BE MAILED

MESSAGE: Please find the attached claim for discussion

today's teleconferent.

This transmission contains confidential information intended for use only by the above-named recipient. Reading, discussing, distributing, or copyling this message by anyone other than the named recipient, or his or her employees or agents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (collect), and return the original message to us at the address below via the U.S. Postal Service.

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION, OR IF ANY OF THE PAGES ARE ILLEGIBLE, PLEASE CALL 617.646.8000 IMMEDIATELY.

Wolf Greenfield Fax Number: 617.646.8646

Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. | 600 Atlantic Avenue | Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206 617.646.8000 | fax 617.646.8646 | www.wolfgreenfield.com

COPYRIGHTS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFERS LITIGATION TRADEMARKS PATENTS

70. (New) A method of separating buoyant components from a mixture of engine exhaust gases and fluid, the method comprising:

flowing a first mixture of engine exhaust gases and fluid to a receiving chamber; flowing exhaust gases of the first mixture through a lift conduit of the receiving chamber and toward a gas containing portion of a separator chamber, thereby propelling buoyant liquid and solid components of the first mixture through the lift conduit;

flowing the buoyant liquid and solid components of the first mixture out of the lift conduit and downward, toward a free surface of a second mixture that resides in a first part of the separator chamber;

separating less buoyant components of the second mixture from more buoyant components of the second mixture; and

moving the less buoyant components of the second mixture from the first part of the separator chamber to a second part of the separator chamber.